



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSISMEN OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20201
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/762,724	02/09/2001	Joseph A. Kovacs	4239-58054	7526
24197 7:	590 01/29/2002			
KLARQUIST	SPARKMAN, LLP		EXAM	INER
121 SW SALMON STREET SUITE 1600 PORTLAND, OR 97204		GOLDBERG, JE	EANINE ANNE	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1655		
		DATE MAILED: 01/29/2002	!	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	No.	Applicant(s)	
•			10.		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Office Action Summany	09/762,724		KOVACS ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Jeanine A Go		1655	
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the co	ver sneet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, he statutory within the statutory will apply and will expense the application.	however, may a reply be tin y minimum of thirty (30) day pire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 i	February 2001	· - •		
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	his action is no	n-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application	n.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consi	deration.		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{1-45}$ are subject to restriction and/or	election requir	rement.		
Applicati	on Papers				
<i>,</i> —	The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on			oved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
•	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
,—	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (t).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT Ru	ule 17.2(a)).		
14) 🗌 🗸	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119	e) (to a provisional application	ı).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5	· <u></u>	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/762,724 Art Unit: 1655	Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- A) Group I, claim(s) 1-24, drawn to methods of detecting the presence of *Pneumocystis carinii* in a sample by amplifying a conserved region within a human-*P. carinii* and detecting.
 - B) Group II, claim(s) 25, drawn to a protein.
- C) Group III, claim(s) 26-43, drawn to nucleic acids, probes vectors, cells and kits comprising probes or primers.
 - D) Group IV, claim(s) 44-45, drawn to antibodies to peptides.
- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons.

A 371 case is considered to have unity of invention only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding technical features. The expression "special technical feature" means those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. While the instant claims all are drawn

	_
Application/Control Number:	Page 3
09/762,724	
Art Unit: 1655	

to *Pneumocystis carinii*, it is clear from the art, as provided in the written opinion, that said oligonucleotides of Claim 28 comprising at least 70% do not define a contribution over the prior art since Garbe and Stringer, Infect. Immun., Vol. 62, pages 3092-3101 (1994) teaches a sequence which shows more than 96% homology with residues 2839-3084 of SEQ ID NO: 7. Therefore, there is no special technical feature which links the claims.

Furthermore, as provided in MPEP 1.475, "An international or a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories". The instant claims are drawn to more than one product, namely proteins, nucleic acids and antibodies in addition to methods. These four categories do not fall within the scope of the combinations provided for which maintain unity of invention.

Application/Control Number:	Page 4
09/762,724	
Art Unit: 1655	

Restriction Requirement Applicable to All Groups:

1. Each sequence is patentably distinct because they are unrelated sequences, i.e. these sequences are unrelated because the protein encoded by these sequences differ in structure and in function and in biological activity. A restriction is applied to each Group. For an elected Group drawn to amino acid sequences, the Applicants must further elect a single amino acid sequence. For an elected Group drawn to nucleotide sequences, the Applicants are permitted to elect a single nucleic acid sequence (See MPEP 803.04).

The claims contains at least 7 individual, independent and distinct nucleotide sequences in alternative form, namely SEQ ID NO: 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14 and the nucleic acids 1, 3, 5, 7, 15, 17. In the event that applicant elects the nucleic acid claims, applicant's attention is drawn to Claim 27 which appears to be claiming nucleic acids but has included protein sequences of SEQ ID NO: 2, 4, 6. Accordingly, these claims are subject to restriction under 35 U.S.C. 121 as outlined in 1192 O.G. 68 (November 19, 1996).

Nucleotide sequences encoding different proteins are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequences are

	
Application/Control Number:	Page 5
09/762,724	
Art Unit: 1655	

presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to a restriction requirement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 CFR 1.141 et seq.

Applicant is required to select one of the individual sequences for examination. The search of the selected sequence may include the complements of the selected sequences and, where appropriate, may include subsequences within the selected sequences (e.g., oligomeric probes and/or primers). Applicant is requested to provide the sequence which are subsequences within the selected sequence for examination.

These sequences are presumably patentably distinct sequences. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the nucleic acids are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evident or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variant or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other inventions.

3. A telephone call was made to Tanya Harding on January 23, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Applicant requested the restriction in writing.

Application/Control Number:	Page 6
09/762,724	
Art Unit: 1655	

- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Jeanine Goldberg whose telephone number is (703) 306-5817. The examiner can normally be reached Monday, Wednesday and Friday from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. and Tuesday and Thursday from 7:00 a.m. 1:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152. The fax number for this Group is (703) 305- 3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Jeanine Enewold Goldberg January 24, 2002

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600